



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/536,051	03/27/2000	Takayuki Katoh	00191/LH	5649
759	90 07/29/2004	EXAMINER		
	Goodman Langer & C	GRANT II, JEROME		
Leonard Holtz				*
767 Third Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
25th Floor			2626	
New York, NY	10017-2023		DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/536,051	KATOH, TAKAYUKI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jerome Grant II	2626			
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply any reply received by the Office later than three months after than three months after the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may unication. of days, a reply within the statutory minimum of utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) No will, by statute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>14 Mav 2004</i> .	•			
•	b)⊠ This action is non-final.	•			
3) Since this application is in condition f	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	- aa., _,, pa q.a.,,,				
4)	e withdrawn from consideration. 22 is/are rejected. ted to.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any object		• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to the country of the count	•	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority of a claim for a cl	locuments have been received. locuments have been received ir f the priority documents have be	n Application No			
* See the attached detailed Office action	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ot received. JEROM PRIMAR			
Attachment(s)	4 \	w Summon (PTO 442)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P Paper No(s)/Mail Date		of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2626

Detailed Action

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S. C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 3 5 U. S. C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U. S. C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S. C. 102(e)).

Claims 2, 3, 5-7, 10, 11, 13-19, 21 ad 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Janssen.

With respect to claim 2, Janssen teaches a textile printing apparatus, shown by figure 1 a, comprising inputting means for inputting image data, see col. 11, lines 42-47; a display (taught at col. 17, lines 60-64); image processing means (program module 80, color kitchen 40, printer program module 90), for applying image processing to the image so as to make colors of the image indicated on the display close to desired colors; and a printer 100 to print the image on a fabric (col. 1, lines 19-25) based on the image data applied with the image processing by the image processing means shown in figure lb. Janssen teaches wherein the image processing means (purified stock solutions) applies a first color converting processing to the image data inputted by the inputting means in accordance with a kind of the fabric (col. 4, lines 30-34) and indicates the image on the display (col. 17, lines 60-64)f based on the image data applied with the color converting processing, he image processing means applies a converting processing (which permits same standard colorants 20 to be used) to the image data applied with the image processing (purified stock solution 30) by the image processing means in accordance with the kind of the fabric; and printer 100 for printing the image on the fabric as claimed.

With respect to claim 3, Janssen teaches a memory 112 for storing a **first** color converting table 111 to apply the first color converting processing and information to apply the converting processing, wherein the image processing means (printer program module 90) applies the first color converting processing based on the first color converting table (111) stored in the memory 112 and applied the converting processing based on the information stored in the memory.

With respect to claim 5, Janssen teaches image processing means 90 which applies the first converting processing to the image data inputted by the inputting means (col. 11, lines 42-47) based on the first color converting table in accordance with the kind of fabric, see col. 4, lines 3034.

Art Unit: 2626

With respect to claim 6, Janssen teaches wherein the converting processing is a second color converting processing (performed by any of purified stock (n) modules) in accordance with the kind of the fabric.

With respect to claims 7 and 13, Janssen teaches wherein the converting processing is a second color converting processing in accordance with the kind of the fabric (col. 4, lines 30-34) and the information is a second color converting table to apply the second color converting process. The second process is the other of plural purified stock solution 30.

With respect to claim 10, Janssen teaches transmitting means for transmitting the color measuring color patch printed on the fabric by the printer. This corresponds to the spot coloring. See col. 18, line 58 - col. 19, line 4; and receiving means 110 for receiving the first color converting table to apply the first color converting processing and the information to apply the converting process in accordance with the type of fabric, see col. 4, lines 30-34, wherein the image processing means 90 applies the first color converting process based on the first color converting table 111 received by the receiving means and applies the converting processing based on the information received by the receiving means 110.

With respect to claim 11, Janssen teaches a module 90 for setting a ratio of mixing different colors of ink.

With respect to claim 14, Janssen teaches wherein the image processing means 90 applies a color processed via first color conversion table 111 in accordance with the kind of fabric received by the receiving means 110.

With respect to claim 15, Janssen teaches wherein the image processing means 90 applies the second color converting means (the other of n colors) based on the second color converting table 111 in accordance with the kind of the fabric received by receiving means 110.

With respect to claim 16, Janssen teaches a ink jet printer 1000, see also step 608 of figure 6.

With respect to claim 17, Janssen teaches receiving means (according to col. 11, lines 42-47) for receiving data obtained by measuring colors of a color patch (spot coloring) by spraying plural different color inks on to a fabric (see col. 18, line 58 to col. 19, line 4; determining means (modules 90) for producing ink spraying data (spot coloring for mixing of colors) corresponding to the kind of fabric to be printed based on received data (see col. 4, lines 30-34) see also col. 20, lines 18-22) and transmitting means (ink jet nozzles taught at col. 20, line 60) for spraying the data.

With respect to claim 18, Janssen teaches wherein the ink spraying data includes a color manager 90 for mixing ratio of different color inks.

With respect to claim 19, Janssen teaches wherein the determining means produces the ink spraying data by changing ink spraying data predetermined in accordance with a kind of fabric.

The user determines the different ink spray characteristics by performing spot printing according to col. 18, lines 56-67.

Art Unit: 2626

4.

With respect to claim 21, Janssen teaches a determining means 90 which produces a color conversion table 111 corresponding to the kind of fabric as the ink spraying data, see co1.4, lines 30-34.

With respect to claim 22, Janssen teaches wherein the printer is an ink jet printer 100, see 608 according to figure 6.

Objected Claims

2. Claims 4, 8, 9, 12 and 20, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

3. Claims Allowed

_ Claim 23 is allowed for the reason the prior art does not provide in claimed combination, "... a converter which converts the adjusted image data into print image data to be used for print, wherein the converter has a converting table being provided according to a kind of a textile and having an information with respect to a maximum ink amount per a unit area of the textile..."

Examiner's Remarks

Applicant contends that there is no color conversion process in accordance with a fabric taught by Janssen. The examiner disagrees. Janssen teaches a memory 112 for storing a first color converting table 111 to apply the first color converting processing and information to apply the converting processing, wherein the image processing means (printer program module 90) applies the first color converting processing based on the first color converting table (111) stored in the memory 112 and applied the converting processing based on the information stored in the memory. The color process is with respect to different fabrics which include plastics and foils, see col. 10, lines 15-22. Janssen also mentions in the Background of the Invention, that printing is performed on clothing, fabrics and furniture upholstery as the textiles for which the present invention is directed.

Art Unit: 2626

5.

6.Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly Williams, can be reached on (703) 305-4863. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 305-3900.

J. Grant II

^{6.} Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Grant II whose telephone number is 703-305-4391. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 9:00 to 5:00.